PGX.ISO: Parallel and Efficient In-Memory Engine for Subgraph Isomorphism

Raghavan Raman , PhD Oskar van Rest Sungpack Hong, PhD Zhe Wu, PhD Hassan Chafi*, PhD Jay Banerjee, PhD



Safe Harbor Statement

The following is intended to outline our general product direction. It is intended for information purposes only, and may not be incorporated into any contract. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described for Oracle's products remains at the sole discretion of Oracle.



The Subgraph Isomorphism Problem

Q: Query Graph G: Data Graph A, B, C – Node Properties X, Y, Z – Edge Properties



Problem: Find all subgraphs of G that are isomorphic to Q

Matching Criteria:

- 1. Topology of the graphs and
- 2. Properties on nodes and edges



Existing Solutions

- Graph Databases
 - RDF data Model
 - Oracle, Virtuoso, ...
 - SPARQL: standard query language
 - Property Graph (PG) data model
 - Neo4J, ...
 - No standard query language yet
 - Disk-based solutions
 - [pro] Process very large graphs
 - [con] Disk latency becomes performance bottleneck

- In-Memory Solutions
 - Mostly from academia
 - VF2, QuickSI, TurboISO, etc...
 - Mostly sequential algorithms
 - Common approach:
 - backtracking + filtering → prune partial solutions

[Issues and Lessons]

- Parallelizing backtracking algorithm s challenging esp. load balancing
- Poor spatial locality from depth-first approaches
- Matching Order is important
- Need efficient partial solutions handling

Our Approach (1) : PGX.ISO

- Parallel, In-memory engine for subgraph isomorphism
 - Use efficient data structure for graph and partial solutions
 - Considers load balancing and workload distribution
- Breadth-first search
 - Fixed order of query nodes for matching
 - Better for parallelization and more cache friendly
- Other optimizations
 - Different matching strategies for different graph patterns
 - Edge-first matching to improve performance

Visit our poster for details

Our Approach (2) : GMQL

- GMQL: Graph Matching Query Language
 - A Query Language for *Property Graph* Data Model
 - First-class constructs for nodes, edges and properties
 - Compiles query into PGX.ISO
- Native SPARQL support
 - − Automatic conversion: SPARQL → GMQL
- IDE and Visualization
 - Pluggable to Eclipse
 - Visualize query (and result)
 - Built from Spoofax language bench (TU DELFT)

➔ Visit our poster for details

ORACLE

Copyright © 2014 Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights res

Integrated with Eclipse **GMQL:** Look and Feel Test/example/lubm/q4.gmql - Eclipse Platform **Graphical editor** synchronizes with textual Q c GMOL -GMQL editor in real-time Execute Query ⓓ *q4.gmql_diagram \ 🔵 q4.rq 🖾 PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> 😳 Palette PREFIX ub: <http://www.lehigh.edu/~zhp2/2004/0401/univ-bench.owl#> lubm50 📐 🔍 🔍 📁 🗸 **SELECT** ?X ?Y1 ?Y2 ?Y3 WHERE { Objects ?X rdf:type ub:Professor . Node ?X ub:worksFor <http://www.Department0.University0.edu> . 🛠 Cross ?X ub:name ?Y1 . ?X ub:emailAddress ?Y2 . constraint ?X ub:telephone ?Y3 rdf:type == ub:Professor SPARQL Query 🗁 Connect... 👳 3 Edge label == ub:worksFor 🔵 g4.gmgl 🖾 Edge PREFIX rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> (undir.) PREFIX ub: <http://www.lehigh.edu/~zhp2/2004/0401/univ-bench.owl#> <http://www.Department0.Universitv0.edu> IN lubm50 ■ MATCH X - [ub:worksFor] -> Y, X.rdf:type == ub:Professor, Y == <http://www.Department0.University0.edu> ⊖ SELECT AS TABLE **GMQL** Query can be X. X.ub:name, X.ub:emailAddress, X.ub:telephone X, X.ub:name, X.ub:emailAddress, X.ub:telephone automatically generated from SPAROL 🗉 Console 🖾 🛃 🖃 🔻 📬 **Querv** results Spoofax Console X.ub:emailAddress I X X.ub:name X.ub:telephone <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/AssistantProfessor3> "AssistantProfessor3" "AssistantProfessor3@Department0.University0.edu" "xxx-xxx-xxxx' <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/AssociateProfessor2> "AssociateProfessor2" "AssociateProfessor2@Department0.University0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/FullProfessor7> "FullProfessor7" "FullProfessor7@Department0.University0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/AssociateProfessor9> "AssociateProfessor9" "AssociateProfessor9@Department0.Universitv0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/AssociateProfessor7> "AssociateProfessor7" "AssociateProfessor7@Department0.University0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" "AssociateProfessor12" <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/AssociateProfessor12> "AssociateProfessor12@Department0.University0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/AssistantProfessor1> "AssistantProfessor1" "AssistantProfessor1@Department0.University0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.Universitv0.edu/AssociateProfessor5> "AssociateProfessor5" "AssociateProfessor5@Department0.Universitv0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.University0.edu/FullProfessor0> "FullProfessor0" "FullProfessor0@Department0.University0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX" <http://www.Department0.Universitv0.edu/AssociateProfessor8> "AssociateProfessor8" "AssociateProfessor8@Department0.Universitv0.edu" "XXX-XXX-XXXX"

Performance Evaluation: PGX.ISO

- Dataset : LUBM Lubm datasets evaluated:
 - A standard benchmark for RDF/SPARQL
 - Lubm 8K 173.8 million nodes, 701.8 million edges
 - Lubm 25K 543 million nodes, 2.1 billion edges
- Environments (x86 and SPARC)
 - X86: 2 x 8-Core Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2660 @ 2.2 GHz (X3-2)
 - SPARC: 8 x 16-Core SPARC T5 processor @ 3.6 GHz
- Comparisons
 - Oracle SPARQL SQL with Oracle RDBMS 12.1.0.1
 - SPARQL SQL queries run directly on the Oracle RDBMS
 - Graph is loaded into memory before running SPARQL queries in PGX

Performance on LUBM Queries

LUBN	l Query	LUBM 8K	Execution Time on x86 (s)		Eocus on A	
		#Solutions	SQL	PGX.ISO	rocus on 4	
Query 2	1	4	0	0	queries	
Query 2	2	2528	21.26	0.1		
Query 3	3	6	0	0		
Query 4	1	34	0	0		
Query 5	5	719	0.02	0		
Query 6	5	83557706	23.56	0.14		
Query 7	7	67	0.01	0		
Query 8	3	7790	0.23	0		
Query 9	Ð	2178420	58	0.58		
Query 2	10	4	0	0	Time < 0.01 (s) is con	sidered (
Query 2	11	224	0.01	0		
Query 2	12	15	0.14	0		
Query 2	13	37118	1.15	0.03		
Query 2	14	63400587	21.09	0.1		

Comparison of PGX.ISO and Oracle-SQL LUBM 8K and 25K on x86 and Sparc 100x improvement over SQL for all queries Major gains from : LUBM 8K on x86 LUBM 25K on x86 Being in-memory Parallelization ■ PGX.ISO ■ SQL ■ PGX.ISO ■ SQL 100 1000 10 100 Time (s) Time (s) 1 10 0.1 1 0.01 0.1 q2 q9 q6 q14 q2 q6 q9 q14 LUBM 25K on SPARC LUBM 8K on SPARC PGX.ISO SQL ■ PGX.ISO ■ SQL 1000 100 100 10 Time (s) Time (s) 10 1 1 0.1

0.1

q14

q9

q2

ORACLE

q2

q6

0.01

q6

q9

q14

Scalability of PGX.ISO LUBM Query 2 Scalability on x86 and SPARC

ORACLE

PGX.ISO is well-parallelized (for x86 and SPARC)



*Best numbers for x86 and SPARC (with different optimizations and matching orders)



Closer Look and Remaining Issues



The whole PGX System

• PGX

- In-memory, parallel graph analytic engine
- Use database as persistence layer
- Load graph into memory
- Two kinds of workloads
 - Graph query (this paper)
 - ➔ Find patterns in graph
 - Computational analytics (OTN)
 - ➔ Page rank, community detection, ...
 - We are merging these two engines

Check PGX engine at :

http://tinyurl.com/olabspgx



Summary

- PGX.ISO
 - Parallel, in-memory solution for subgraph isomorphism
- GMQL
 - a query language for property graph data
 - Provides RDF/SPARQL compatibility
- Evaluation with LUBM
 - With x86 and SPARC
 - Up to 300x faster than SQL-based Implementation



Hardware and Software Engineered to Work Together



Copyright © 2014 Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Backup Slides



Copyright © 2014 Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. |

GMQL: Graph-Matching Query Language



